

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 CHRISTOPHER CAMERON, INGRID
12 OVERMAN, and the F/V GALLows
13 POINT,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 Case No. C07-5274 KLS

16 v.

17 CAPE CAUTION, INC., and CHARLES R.
18 PIECUCH, *In Personum*; the F/V CAPE
19 CAUTION, O.N. 606699, her engines, tackle,
20 apparel, furniture and equipment, *In Rem*, and
21 GARY MINKOFF, as charterer and owner *Pro
Hac Vice*,

22 Defendants.

23 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
24 EXTEND DISCLOSURE DEADLINE

25 This matter comes before the Court on the Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure Deadline
26 (Dkt. #34). The Defendants filed their opposition to the motion (Dkt. #36) and the matter is ready for
27 resolution by the Court.

28 This Court's Scheduling Order set the date of May 1, 2008 for the disclosure of expert witnesses.
29 On June 11, 2008 the Plaintiffs filed their motion to extend that deadline. The Plaintiffs have failed to
30 provide the Court with a good reason as to why the motion to extend the discovery date was filed almost
31 six weeks after the cut-off date and they also have failed to provide a good reason why the cut-off date
32 should be extended. At the most, they allege the need for additional time to respond to the Defendant's

1 expert's report, a copy of which had already been provided in discovery. However, the rules clearly
2 provide a thirty day time period within which an expert can file a rebuttal report. It appears that this was
3 not done. The motion to extend the expert witness disclosure deadline is DENIED.

4 DATED this 8nd day of July, 2008.

5
6 
7

8
9
10 Karen L. Strombom
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28